SINGLE BENCH (4)

CF 100

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) NO. 4208 /2006

PETITIONER : Govind Ram
aged about 42 years,
S/o. Raju Ram Nirmalkar
Occupation Daily Wages employee
Water Resources Department
Gariyaband, R/o Panduka
(Gariyaband) Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

P.R.No. 4116/06
Presented by Shri Raghuvanshi
31/11/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through : Secretary
Water Resources Department
Mantralaya D.K.S., Bhawan , Raipur
(C.G.)

2. Engineer in chief
Water Resources Department
Shihawa Bhawan, Civil Line
Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
31/11/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION U/A 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

(38)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.4208.. **सन्** 2006

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	11.8.2006:- Shri Abhay Tiwari, counsel for the petitioner. Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents, on advance copy. Heard. The petitioner, who claims to be a daily wager employee in the Water Resources Department, Gariyaband, Panduka has preferred this writ petition questioning his transfer order dated 7-7-2006. The main grievance of the petitioner is that he is working as a Copyist, daily wager employee in the Water Resources Department at Panduka and by the impugned order he has been transferred to Kanker Division. Being a daily wager employee he cannot be transferred, therefore, the impugned order is perverse. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in the circumstances, the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to the Chief Engineer, Water Resources Department bringing this anomaly to his notice and in turn, he be directed to decide the same, to which learned counsel for respondents has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation along with a copy of this petition to the Chief Engineer, Water Resources Department within a period of 10 days from today and in turn, Chief Engineer, Water Resources department shall decide the representation within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of the representation, after considering all the points raised by the petitioner, in accordance with law.	

P.T.O.

39

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Barve	In the meantime, the effect and operation of the impugned order in respect of the petitioner shall remain stayed, till the representation of the petitioner is decided. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.3265/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. **Sd/-** **L.C.Bhadoo** **Judge**	

P.T.O.